The Past Hypothesis as Substrate Boot: Why the Universe Began Ordered, and Why It Is Not a Boltzmann Brain

David Elliman
Neuro-symbolic Ltd
dave@neusym.ai

Working note, refreshed 2026-06-25

Abstract

Thermodynamics has an embarrassing loose end. The Second Law — entropy increases — holds only because the universe *started* in a staggeringly low-entropy state, a brute initial condition Penrose and Albert call the "Past Hypothesis" [1, 12]. Standard cosmology must simply *posit* it. We point out that in the finite quantum-error-correction (QEC) substrate programme [5, 7, 9] this posit is not needed: entropy is carried by written record/syndrome bits, and before the substrate's error-correcting machinery switches on there are no records, so the entropy is zero *by construction*. The low-entropy start is what a self-correcting fabric that has only just turned on must look like. The newer reconstruction layer strengthens this statement: the finite record cell is the unique minimal balanced $[8, 4, 4]$ byte once stable local records and distance-4 erasure protection are imposed.

The note's main application is the standard objection to every low-entropy past account: the *Boltzmann-brain* problem [3, 4]. A spontaneous fluctuation that assembles an observer must write that observer's N_{rec} records against the arrow, at a Boltzmann cost $\sim e^{-N_{\mathrm{rec}}}$; and, decisively, Boltzmann brains can only *dominate* if the universe sits in an eternal equilibrium, which the canonical substrate branch never does. It is a driven record-writing system with boundary-printed fresh records and an evolving dark-energy equation of state $w(a) = -1 + a/28 \neq -1$. Both statements are checked by short self-asserting programs. We close with an honest "boot-channel dependency map": K04 fossil debris, the pressureless zero-mode reservoir, baryon asymmetry, dark energy, and the HBC scalar spectrum do share a common substrate switch-on, but they are *not* governed by a single tunable schedule. The reframe is firm; the Boltzmann-brain suppression is conditional on the driven/evolving branch; the general cosmological measure problem is not claimed solved.

Contents

1 The loose end in the Second Law

The Second Law of thermodynamics is the most reliable statement in physics, and its foundations are quietly scandalous. The microscopic laws are time-symmetric, so they cannot by themselves explain why entropy increases toward the future and not the past. The standard resolution is to add an ingredient by hand: the universe *began* in an extraordinarily low-entropy state, and everything since has been the long relaxation away from it. Penrose estimated the required fine-tuning of the initial state at one part in $10^{10^{123}}$ [12]; Albert named the assumption the "Past Hypothesis" and argued that essentially all of our reasoning about the past secretly relies on it [1]. In conventional cosmology this is a *posit*: an unexplained, wildly improbable boundary condition that the theory needs but cannot motivate.

There is also a notorious objection that shadows *any* account resting on a low-entropy past. If the universe is fundamentally a system that can fluctuate — and a universe ending in eternal de Sitter equilibrium certainly can — then random fluctuations will occasionally, spontaneously, assemble an ordered subsystem, even a conscious observer with false memories of an orderly past: a "Boltzmann brain" [3]. Given unlimited time, such freak observers can vastly outnumber the ordinary observers produced once by the orderly history, so that a *typical* observer should find itself a momentary fluctuation surrounded by chaos [4]. We manifestly do not. Any theory of the arrow of time owes an answer to both halves of this: *why* the low-entropy start, and *why not* Boltzmann brains.

This note gives both answers inside one specific model, and is careful to separate what is already established in the programme's canon from what is new here.

2 Entropy is recorded syndrome

In the finite-QEC substrate programme, space is the ordered (crystallised) phase of a discrete, self-correcting register network: a lattice $\mathbb{Z}^3 \otimes Q_3$ whose cells run parity checks and repair errors, exactly as a fault-tolerant quantum memory does [9]. The thermodynamic entropy in this picture is not an abstract phase-space volume; it is concrete and countable — it is the number of error-syndrome *records* the machinery has written. Each recorded bit is an irreversible write and carries the Landauer cost $k_B T \ln 2$ [2, 11].

The current foundations paper makes the record assumption less ad hoc than it was in the first version of this note. Stable local records, repeatable readout, local tomography, and finite noisy erasure protection force a minimal balanced binary record cell, and in the Type-II CSS class that cell is the self-dual $[8, 4, 4]$ code. The Born rule and the measurement arrow then live in the same record package: records are orthogonal projectors, record writing is a Stinespring/Naimark isometry, and the closed-record-pair argument gives the usual squared amplitude. This paper does not rederive that reconstruction ladder; it uses its consequence, that written records are the primitive thermodynamic carriers.

This single identification fixes the arrow of time and removes the Past Hypothesis as a separate assumption. Before the lattice's parity-check geometry becomes fault-tolerant there are no syndromes to record, so the frustration count is identically zero and

$$S(0) = 0 \qquad \text{by construction, not by fine-tuning.} \tag{1}$$

As the lattice crystallises and checks come online, syndromes begin to accumulate and the record count — the entropy — grows monotonically. That monotone growth *is* the thermodynamic arrow. In the programme's own words, "the Past Hypothesis of Penrose/Albert is the automatic initial condition of a QEC substrate that has only just turned on" (ANCHOR §13.1). A minimal model of this — entropy as a cumulative record count that starts at zero and never decreases — is checked in `entropy_arrow_monotonicity.py`.

3 The low-entropy start is not a special boundary condition

One might worry that this just relocates the puzzle: why was the substrate *itself* in its pristine, record-free state at the beginning? The programme's cosmological-engine result (ANCHOR item 123) answers this in a way that makes the low-entropy condition perpetual rather than initial. Cosmic expansion does not stretch a fixed set of cells; it *generates new ones* at the boundary of the causal patch, and a newly minted cell enters existence with no syndrome history, hence with strictly zero entanglement entropy. Quoting the canon:

> "low-entropy 'initial' conditions are not a posited boundary condition of the universe, but a structural property of the lattice's continuous self-expansion — every newly-generated node *is* an 'initial condition.' Expansion provides an infinite, $S = 0$ cold bath into which Landauer erasure can dump."

This reframes the Past Hypothesis from a one-time miracle into an ongoing structural feature of boundary printing: newly generated cells enter without a syndrome history. Two consequences matter for what follows. First, the canonical branch is not a closed thermal box with a fixed finite record inventory. Second, and decisively for the Boltzmann-brain question, **the substrate is a driven, non-equilibrium system**, not a closed box relaxing toward a fluctuating equilibrium. Its entropy curve climbs monotonically; it has no quiescent plateau on which to sit and fluctuate downward (the contrast is made explicit, against a matched equilibrium control, in `entropy_arrow_monotonicity.py`).

4 Boltzmann brains are suppressed

We can now address the objection. The Boltzmann-brain problem is not a separate finite-code theorem; it is the natural cosmological application of the record-arrow package. The argument has three legs, the first two standard but here re-grounded in the record picture, the third specific to this substrate. All three are checked in `boltzmann_brain_suppression.py`.

(A) Per-event suppression. If entropy is recorded syndrome, then an observer simply *is* a configuration of N_{rec} records. For a spontaneous fluctuation to assemble one, it must write those N_{rec} bits against the arrow — a downward entropy excursion of size N_{rec} — which carries the Boltzmann weight

$$P_{\mathrm{event}} \sim e^{-N_{\mathrm{rec}}}. \tag{2}$$

Even an absurdly generous floor for "an observer" — a gigabit information-processor, $N_{\mathrm{rec}} \sim 10^9$ — gives $P_{\mathrm{event}} \sim 10^{-4\times 10^8}$; a human brain ($\sim 10^{16}$ synapses) gives $\sim 10^{-4\times 10^{15}}$. Per event, a Boltzmann brain is negligible.

(B) Domination requires an eternal equilibrium. Per-event rarity alone does *not* settle the matter — this is the subtle point that makes the Boltzmann-brain problem hard. A fantastically small rate multiplied by an unbounded equilibrium spacetime volume can still produce more freak observers than the finite number of ordinary ones. Boltzmann brains come to dominate only if an *equilibrium* phase persists long enough to accumulate a four-volume $\sim e^{+N_{\rm rec}}$; in substrate ticks ($\tau_0 = \hbar/\Lambda \approx 2 \times 10^{-24}\,$s) that is $\sim 10^{4\times 10^8}$ ticks even at the $N_{\rm rec} \sim 10^9$ floor, against the $\sim 10^{41}$ ticks of cosmic history so far. Only a *literally eternal* equilibrium could ever let them win.

(C) This substrate has no eternal equilibrium. That equilibrium is exactly what the substrate denies, on three independent counts:

- **It is driven.** Expansion mints fresh $S = 0$ cells at the boundary (§3); entropy grows monotonically, with no downward-fluctuation regime for a brain to ride.
- **Its dark energy evolves.** The equation of state is predicted to thaw, $w(a) = -1 + a/28$, so $w_0 = -27/28 \neq -1$ (ANCHOR item 131); the cosmos is therefore not asymptoting to a pure-Λ eternal de Sitter, which is the very phase the Boltzmann-brain count assumes.
- **Its dark energy is exhaust, not vacuum.** ρ_Λ is the waste heat of an *active* error-correcting engine (a driven steady state, ANCHOR item 123), not the quiescent vacuum a fluctuation catastrophe needs.

With no eternal equilibrium, the $e^{+N_{\rm rec}}$ four-volume of leg (B) is never supplied. The ordinary observers produced once per causal patch by the boot remain the dominant population. The orderly, low-entropy history wins not by fiat but because the substrate *never settles* into the fluctuating equilibrium the catastrophe requires.

Honesty about tiers. Leg (A) is standard Boltzmann statistics, re-grounded in the record/Landauer cost; leg (B) is the standard domination logic. Both are solid. Leg (C) is the framework's distinctive contribution, and it is *conditional*: it rests on the driven boundary-printing picture and on the evolving $w(a)$ branch. Were dark energy an exact, eternal cosmological constant, leg (C) would weaken. And this argument does not claim to solve the general cosmological *measure* problem — the unsolved question of how to count observers in an infinite universe. It shows something narrower and cleaner: this substrate removes the specific premise — eternal equilibrium — on which Boltzmann-brain *domination* depends.

5 One boot, four channels: an honest dependency map

It is tempting to over-unify. The same switch-on event that starts the entropy clock also seeds several early-universe ledgers, and one is tempted to say they all flow from "one boot history." That is true in a weak and important sense and false in a strong and seductive one, so we set it down precisely. Before the substrate activates there are no baryon records, no frozen lattice defects, no Landauer exhaust, no pressureless zero-mode reservoir, and no printed boundary modes; *after* records begin, all can appear. They share an *origin* — one substrate, one activation event. They do *not* share a single tunable *schedule*.

Channel	Observable	Set by	*Not* set by
K04 fossil debris	wall-network density and pinned substrate-static relics	Kibble–Zurek cooling, wall geometry, and later aging	the mobile CMB/halo dark budget
Zero-mode dust	$\omega_{\rm dark} \simeq 0.121$, $w = c_s^2 = 0$ if admitted	conserved massive dust premise, $\alpha_0/208$ source law, R4 directed incidence, and Brown–Kuchar dust lift	K04 cooling or active MOND fitting
Baryon asymmetry	η magnitude and sign channel	discrete code/billing plus the still-open $\Delta L = 2$ CP-holonomy sign	the cooling ramp (lock dissolved)
Dark energy	$w(a) = -1 + a/28$	the 28-channel code + live horizon service	the boot quench
HBC scalar spectrum	$n_s = 27/28$, $A_s = (3/4)\alpha_0^4$ candidate	28-clock tilt plus channel-lock/spatial-whitening and the local saturated scalar-printer premise	cooling dynamics or total entropy loading

Three points are worth drawing out. First, the cooling law affects the K04 fossil wall network, not the pressureless component that repairs the CMB third-peak budget. K04 is pinned and subdominant under the current mobility audits; the mobile dark slot now belongs to the $\nu_R + N_{\rm zero}$ branch if its source and halo gates survive. Second, baryogenesis was once conjectured to be locked to the same ramp; an explicit audit dissolved the lock (`onset_alignment.py`), leaving the magnitude on the code/billing side and the sign on the $\Delta L = 2$ holonomy frontier. Third, dark energy and the HBC scalar spectrum still share the 28-clock, but neither is a function of the cooling rate. So "one boot history" is the right picture at the level of *one substrate and one switch-on event*, and the wrong picture if it is taken to mean *one knob*.

6 What is settled, what is open, what is reserved

Settled. The reframe itself: entropy is recorded syndrome, $S(0) = 0$ holds by construction, the arrow of time is record accumulation, and the low-entropy start is a structural property of a boundary-printing self-correcting substrate rather than a fine-tuned boundary condition. The current reconstruction layer also grounds the record carrier: the minimal balanced record cell is the $[8, 4, 4]$ byte, and Born/measurement/arrow are one record package once the finite-record axioms are accepted.

New, but conditional. The Boltzmann-brain suppression. The per-event $e^{-N_{\rm rec}}$ weight and the domination-needs-eternal-equilibrium logic are firm; the conclusion that this universe supplies no such equilibrium is conditional on the driven/evolving dark-energy picture. The result is a conditional record-arrow application, not a closed theorem, and it explicitly does not resolve the general measure problem.

Open. The boot cooling law is not yet derived from first principles. It now sets the K04 fossil wall-network density, not the whole dark-matter abundance. The CMB/mobile-halo branch instead needs the conserved zero-mode dust premise, the zero-mode source law, the 63/64 acoustic-scale selector target, and a no-double-counting halo choice. Tying w_6 (the lattice's defect-energy scale) to the cosmological Λ remains a separate K04 scale target.

Reserved. This is a working note. It establishes the reframe, the Boltzmann-brain argument, and the honest dependency map; the formal derivations behind the conditional entries, and the sharper cosmological conclusions they suggest, are held back for a fuller treatment.

A Reproducibility

Every numerical and logical claim is produced by self-asserting programs in the repository [10] (each exits 0 only if all its internal checks pass):

Program	Role
`python_code/entropy_arrow_monotonicity.py`	Entropy as a cumulative record count: $S(0) = 0$, monotone arrow, and the driven-vs-equilibrium contrast (no downward excursions) underlying §2–§3.
`python_code/boltzmann_brain_suppression.py`	The three-leg suppression of §4: per-event $e^{-N_{\rm rec}}$, the eternal-equilibrium domination condition, and the substrate's lack of one.
`python_code/record_reconstruction_tier_split.py`	The current foundations split: minimal record/QEC structure grounded separately from sector billing and dressed-alpha questions.
`python_code/alpha0_downstream_billing_map_audit.py`	Audits which downstream uses of the bare $\alpha_0 = 1/137$ service rate have sector billing maps and which still carry residuals.
`python_code/onset_alignment.py`	The boot-channel map of §5: K04 fossil-wall production as a kinetic dial, and the dissolution of the baryogenesis↔ramp lock.
`python_code/cmb_dark_reservoir_status.py`	Computes the live conditional zero-mode CMB reservoir branch: $\omega_{\rm dark} \simeq 0.121$, equality repair, and the remaining dust/acoustic/halo gates.
`python_code/item126_baryogenesis_audit.py`	The baryon-asymmetry channel $\eta = \frac{3}{14}\alpha^4 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha^5$.

The cosmological-engine and dark-sector mechanisms, with their full claim tiers, are stated in the sector papers [5, 6, 8, 9].

References

[1] David Z. Albert. *Time and Chance*. Harvard University Press, 2000.

[2] C. H. Bennett. The thermodynamics of computation—a review. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 21: 905–940, 1982.

[3] Ludwig Boltzmann. On certain questions of the theory of gases. *Nature*, 51:413–415, 1895.

[4] Lisa Dyson, Matthew Kleban, and Leonard Susskind. Disturbing implications of a cosmological constant. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2002(10):011, 2002.

[5] D. G. Elliman. Cosmology, dark energy, and inflation in the finite-QEC substrate. Zenodo preprint, `https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20671860`, 2026.

[6] D. G. Elliman. Dark matter, MOND, and K04 debris in the finite-QEC substrate. Zenodo preprint, `https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20671862`, 2026.

[7] D. G. Elliman. Foundations and methodology for a finite-QEC substrate: code, crystallisation, ledgers, and audit protocol. Zenodo preprint v3.0, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20682178, 2026.

[8] D. G. Elliman. Gravity, horizons, and black holes in the finite-QEC substrate. Zenodo preprint, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20671864, 2026.

[9] D. G. Elliman. A finite-QEC substrate program for particle physics and cosmology: current canon and audit methodology. Zenodo preprint v5.0, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20682177, 2026.

[10] David Elliman. It-from-bit: Reproducibility repository. https://github.com/dgedge/itfrombit, 2026. Code and reproducibility repository for the canon snapshot series.

[11] R. Landauer. Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM J. Res. Dev.*, 5:183–191, 1961.

[12] Roger Penrose. Singularities and time-asymmetry. In S. W. Hawking and W. Israel, editors, *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey*, pages 581–638. Cambridge University Press, 1979.